Phonon spectrum, thermodynamic properties, and pressure-temperature phase diagram of uranium dioxide
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We present a study of the structural phase transition and the mechanical and thermodynamic properties of UO2 by means of the local density approximation (LDA) + U approach. A phase transition pressure of 40 GPa is obtained from theory at 0 K, and agrees well with the experimental value of 42 GPa. The pressure-induced enhancements of elastic constants, elastic moduli, elastic wave velocities, and Debye temperature of the ground-state fluorite phase are predicted. The phonon spectra of both the ground state fluorite structure and high-pressure cotunnite structure calculated by the supercell approach show that the cotunnite structure is dynamically unstable under ambient pressure. Based on the imaginary mode along the Γ’-X direction and soft phonon mode along the Γ’-Z direction, a transition path from cotunnite to fluorite has been identified. We calculate the lattice vibrational energy in the quasiharmonic approximation using both first-principles phonon density of state and the Debye model. The calculated temperature dependence of lattice parameter, entropy, and specific heat agrees well with experimental observations in the low temperature domain. The difference of the Gibbs free energy between the two phases of UO2 has predicted a boundary in the pressure-temperature phase diagram. The solid-liquid boundary is approximated by an empirical equation using our calculated elastic constants.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to its critical importance in the nuclear fuel cycle and to the complex electronic structure arising from a partially occupied 5f orbital, uranium dioxide (UO2) has been studied extensively in experiments1–6 and computational simulations.7–15 The 5f electrons in UO2 play a pivotal role in understanding its electronic, thermodynamic, and magnetic properties.16 Using density functional theory (DFT) with a conventional exchange-correlation potential, e.g., the local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA), an incorrect ferromagnetic (FM) conducting ground state of UO2 was observed9 due to an error produced by underestimating the strong on-site Coulomb repulsion of the 5f electrons. Similar problems have been confirmed in previous investigations of NpO217 and PuO2,14 within the pure LDA/GGA schemes. Fortunately, for PuO2 a theory based on completely localized 5f states reproduced well the crystal field splittings as well as the magnetic susceptibility.18 The f → f antiferromagnetic (AFM) Mott-Hubbard insulator nature of UO2 has been well reproduced in LDA/GGA + U,8 the hybrid density functional HSE (Heyd, Scuseria, and Enzerhof),11 the self-interaction corrected local spin-density (SIC-LSD) approximation,13 and LDA plus dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT)19 calculations, which properly describe the photoelectron spectroscopy experiments.1,2

At ambient conditions, UO2 crystallizes in a cubic fluorite structure (Fm3m, No. 225) with cations located in a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure and anions occupying tetrahedral sites. Similar to the high-pressure behavior of ThO2 and PuO2,20 a recent hydrostatic compression experiment3 has shown that UO2 also transforms to the orthorhombic structure of cotunnite-type (Pnma, No. 62) at room temperature, beyond 42 GPa. This kind of pressure-induced phase transition (PT) for actinide dioxides is the same as for the alkaline earth fluorides21 and has not been sufficiently studied, although experiments3,20 and theoretical works14,22,23 have paid great attention to this issue. The data on the cotunnite phase are scarce in the literature, especially for its thermodynamic properties and vibrational characters. The temperature contributions to the PT have not been included in previous studies. On the other hand, the melting properties of UO2 also have not been well investigated. Only a few experiments have been conducted to describe the melting of UO2 near ambient pressure, because of the difficult experimental conditions required to control and monitor the PT.24

In a previous systematic work,14 the structural, electronic, and mechanical properties of AFM UO2 in its ground-state fluorite phase were presented together with the high-pressure cotunnite phase at their corresponding equilibrium states, as given by LDA + U with U = 4 eV. By means of the third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (EOS)25 fitting, the lattice parameter a0 = 5.449 Å and bulk modulus B = 220.0 GPa were found for Fm3m UO2. These values are in good agreement with results of recent LDA + U calculation26 (a0 = 5.448 Å and B = 218 GPa), as well as experimental investigations27 (a0 = 5.47 Å and B = 207 GPa). In the present work, we perform an extended study of the structural, mechanical, and thermodynamic properties of UO2 in the pressure range from 0 to 250 GPa and in a temperature interval from 0 to 4000 K. To this aim, we employ the LDA + U and GGA + U schemes as implemented by Dudarev et al.8,28,29 The total energies of the nonmagnetic (NM), AFM, and FM phases of the fluorite structure have been calculated in a wide range of the effective Hubbard U parameter to check the validity of the ground-state calculations. At 0 K, a Fm3m → Pnma PT pressure of 40 GPa is predicted. In addition, we have calculated
the elastic constants, elastic moduli, Poisson’s ratio, elastic wave velocities, and Debye temperature of AFM fluorite UO₂ in the pressure range from 0 to 40 GPa. The structural transition path of the cotunnite phase to the fluorite phase as well as the melting behavior have been studied based upon our calculated phonon dispersions, Gibbs free energy, and elastic constants. Thermodynamic properties including the Gibbs free energy, the temperature dependence of the lattice parameter and the bulk modulus, entropy, and specific heat have also been evaluated. The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. IV we summarize our results. In Sec. III we present and discuss our results. In Sec. IV we summarize the conclusions of this work.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

A. Computational details

First-principles DFT calculations are performed by means of the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) based on the frozen-core projected augmented wave (PAW) method of Blöchl. The exchange and correlation effects are described with the LDA and GGA, and a cutoff energy of 500 eV is used for the set of plane waves. The K-point meshes in the full wedge of the Brillouin zone (BZ) are sampled by 9 × 9 × 9 and 9 × 15 × 9 grids, respectively, for fluorite and cotunnite UO₂, according to the Monkhorst-Pack (MP) scheme. All atoms are fully relaxed until the Hellmann-Feynman (HF) forces become less than 0.02 eV/Å. The U 6s²7s²6p⁶6d¹7f² and the O 2s²2p⁴ orbitals are treated as valence electrons. Similar to our previous studies, the strong on-site Coulomb repulsion among the localized U 5f electrons is described by using the LDA/GGA + U formulated by Dudarev et al., where the double counting correction has already been included as in the fully localized limit (FLR). In this paper, we study several values of the Hubbard parameter U, while we keep the Hund’s exchange parameter fixed to J = 0.51 eV, following the results of Dudarev et al. One can notice that only the difference between U and J is significant in our method, and we will henceforth refer to it as a single parameter, named U for sake of simplicity.

We calculate the ground-state properties of both phases of UO₂ by means of LDA/GGA + U with and without the inclusion of spin-orbit coupling (SOC). We find the AFM state to be lower in energy than the FM state, which is in agreement with experimental observations and with other calculations, as properly analyzed below. Then we calculate elastic constants, phonon spectra, and thermodynamics properties at different pressures. These quantities are known to be well described without including SOC for both UO₂ and PuO₂. The reason for this is that the 5f states are chemically inert in UO₂, due to their high localization. The entire chemical binding is provided by the spd states of U and the sp states of O, and for these states SOC is less important. Therefore, in most of our work on UO₂, the SOC is not included, but we make a proper comparison to verify this approximation.

Additionally, in order to check the validity of our results, we perform LDA + U calculations with ELK, a full-potential augmented plane wave (FLAPW) method code. Here SOC is included for magnetic calculations in a second-variational scheme, and the double counting is chosen in the FLL. The muffin-tin (MT) radii (RMT) of U and O are set to 1.2 and 0.9 Å, respectively. The parameter RMT [G + kmax] which determines the number of plane waves in the FLAPW method, is set to 9.5. A 10 × 10 × 10 grid is used to sample the BZ.

The Elk results are consistent with VASP, and the AFM configuration is found to be the most energetically favorable state. In ELK, we also calculate the total energy of the 3k̂ magnetic configuration, in which the star of the wave vector k̂ of the magnetic structure contains three members. The AFM configuration (1k̂) with magnetic moments aligned along the z axis (longitudinal) and within the ab plane (transversal) are collinear structures, whereas the 3k̂ configurations (transversal and longitudinal) are noncollinear. We compare the total energies and find that the 1k̂ configuration is the most stable one. The 3k̂ longitudinal and transversal configurations are almost degenerate, differing by only a few meV/U atom.

B. Elastic properties, Debye temperature, and melting temperature

To avoid the Pulay stress problem, the geometry optimization at each volume is performed with VASP at fixed volume rather than constant pressure. Elastic constants for cubic symmetry (C11, C12, and C44) and orthorhombic structure (C11, C12, C13, C22, C23, C33, C44, C55, and C66) are calculated by applying stress tensors with various small strains onto the equilibrium structures. The strain amplitude δ is varied in steps of 0.006 from δ = −0.036 to 0.036. A detailed description of the calculation scheme used here can be found in Ref. 14. After having obtained the elastic constants, the polycrystalline bulk modulus B and shear modulus G are calculated from the Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) approximations. The Young’s modulus E and Poisson’s ratio ν are calculated through E = 9BG/(3B + G) and ν = (3B - 2G)/[2(3B + G)]. In the calculation of the Debye temperature (θD), we use the relation

\[ θ_D = \frac{h}{k_B} \left( \frac{3n}{4πΩ} \right)^{1/3} υ_m, \]  

where h and k_B are Planck and Boltzmann constants, respectively, n is the number of atoms in the molecule, Ω is molecular volume, and υ_m is the average sound wave velocity. The average wave velocity in the polycrystalline materials is approximately given by

\[ υ_m = \left[ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{υ_l} + \frac{1}{υ_T} \right) \right]^{-1/3}, \]  

where \( υ_l = \sqrt{G/ρ} \) (ρ is the density) and \( υ_T = \sqrt{(3B + 4G)/5ρ} \) are the transverse and longitudinal elastic wave velocity of the polycrystalline materials, respectively. The melting temperature (Tm) in units of K for cubic UO₂ is deduced from the elastic constant (C11) by an approximate empirical formula \( T_m = 553 \text{ K} + \frac{5.91}{\text{ GPa}} C_{11} \), where the C11 is in units of GPa and the standard error is about ±300 K.
C. Phonon and thermodynamic properties

We use the supercell approach and the small displacement method as implemented in the FROPHO code to calculate the phonon curves in the BZ and the corresponding phonon density of states (DOS) for both fluorite and cotunnite phases of UO2. In the interpolation of the force constants for calculating the phonon dispersion, we sample the BZ of the \( Fm\bar{3}m \) and \( Pnma \) supercells with respectively 3 \( \times \) 3 \( \times \) 3 \( k \) points. These meshes are set up by means of the MP scheme. The forces induced by small displacements are calculated within VASP.

Thermodynamic properties can be determined by phonon calculations using the quasiharmonic approximation (QHA) or the quasiharmonic Debye model. Within these two models, the Gibbs free energy \( G(T, P) \) is written as

\[
G(T, P) = F(T, V) + PV,
\]

where \( F(T, V) \) is the Helmholtz free energy at temperature \( T \) and volume \( V \), and can be expressed as

\[
F(T, V) = E(V) + F_{\text{el}}(T, V) + F_{\text{q}}(T, V),
\]

where \( E(V) \) is the ground-state total energy, \( F_{\text{el}}(T, V) \) is the vibrational energy of the lattice ions and \( F_{\text{q}}(T, V) \) is the thermal electronic contribution. Since we are treating a wide gap insulator, we can avoid considering \( F_{\text{el}}(T, V) \), as explained in similar works.

Under QHA, \( F_{\text{q}}(T, V) \) can be calculated by

\[
F_{\text{q}}(T, V) = k_B T \int_0^\infty g(\omega) \ln \left[ 2 \sinh \left( \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \right) \right] d\omega,
\]

where \( \omega \) represents the phonon frequencies and \( g(\omega) \) is the phonon DOS. This formula strictly requires that the phonon DOS is positive, and therefore it is not suitable for dynamically unstable phases. In this case, the vibration energy for phases where the phonon frequencies are imaginary can be estimated by the Debye model,

\[
F_{\text{q}}(T, V) = \frac{9}{8} k_B \theta_D^2 + k_B T \left[ 3 \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\theta_D/T} \right) - D\left( \frac{\theta_D}{T} \right) \right],
\]

where \( \frac{9}{8} k_B \theta_D^2 \) is the zero-point energy due to lattice ion vibration at 0 K and \( D(\theta_D/T) \) is the Debye integral written as \( D(\theta_D/T) = 3/(\theta_D/T)^2 \int_0^{\theta_D/T} x^3/(e^x - 1) dx \). Note that \( \theta_D \) here is not calculated by means of Eq. (1), but using a different prescription. For a more detailed overview of the computational details, we redirect the reader to Ref. 46.

III. RESULTS

A. Phase transition at 0 K

In Fig. 1 we report the energy versus lattice constant curves of the \( Fm\bar{3}m \) phase in the AFM and FM configurations, as obtained through VASP with LDA + U + SOC and \( U = 4 \) eV. As one can clearly observe, the AFM arrangement has the lowest energy, and the energy difference with the FM arrangement is 3.7 meV, which becomes 1.5 meV if GGA + U + SOC is used. These values are very consistent with recent DFT + U + SOC results, where an energy difference of about 6 meV is predicted, with a slightly different \( U \). Our results are also consistent with the experimental Néel temperature of \( T_N = 30.8 \) K. By fitting our data for AFM configuration with the EOS, we obtain an equilibrium lattice constant \( a \) and a bulk modulus \( B \) of 5.453 Å and 221 GPa, respectively. Instead, using Elk with similar setup and \( U = 4 \) eV, the optimized equilibrium volume, lattice constant, and bulk modulus are 162.0 Å\(^3\), 5.440 Å, and 230 GPa, respectively. These values are in good agreement with our VASP calculations, and therefore support their reliability.

Furthermore, we can evaluate the spin and orbital contribution to the magnetic moment \( (\mu_s + \mu_l) \). Our LDA + U + SOC calculations with VASP give values of \( \mu_s = 1.30 \mu_B \) and \( \mu_l = -3.32 \mu_B \) for the \( 1k \) AFM structure. These are in reasonable agreement with previous DFT + U + SOC values of \( \mu_s = 1.75 \mu_B \) and \( \mu_l = -3.55 \mu_B \) by the all electron code WIEN2K and the experimental total magnetic moment \( (\mu_{\text{total}}) \) value of 1.74\( \mu_B \).

The total electronic DOS as well as the projected DOS for the U 5f and O 2p orbitals obtained by LDA + U + SOC for the \( Fm\bar{3}m \) and the \( Pnma \) phases are shown in Fig. 2. For the latter, the optimized structural lattice parameters \( a, b, \) and \( c \) are equal to 5.974, 3.605, and 6.965 Å respectively, in the AFM configuration. The energy band gaps \( (E_g) \) for the \( Fm\bar{3}m \) and \( Pnma \) phases are 2.3 and 2.0 eV, respectively. Our result for the ground-state fluorite phase is in good agreement with the value of \( E_g = 2.4 \) eV, obtained in recent calculations with HSE + SOC. However these numbers are still larger than the experimental value of \( E_g = 2.0 \) eV that was measured above the Néel temperature.

Up to now, we have only presented results by DFT + U + SOC. However, our main focus in the present study is on the mechanical properties, phonon spectrum, and thermodynamic properties. The effect of the SOC on these quantities is rather small, as was pointed out in Ref. 39. Therefore, in the following, we will present results obtained without SOC, and we will discuss the associated errors, if relevant.

Using LDA + U with \( U = 4 \) eV, we obtain \( a = 5.449 \) Å and \( B = 220 \) GPa for the \( Fm\bar{3}m \) phase in AFM configuration by EOS fitting. These values are identical to our previous
results, and in good agreement with the corresponding values by LDA + U + SOC. The energy band gap and the spin magnetic moment are calculated to be 1.9 eV and 1.98 μB, respectively. These values are in excellent agreement with both a previous LDA + U calculation (Eg = 1.45 eV and μs = 1.93 μB) and experiments (Eg = 2.0 eV)\(^2\). Notice that here a comparing of the total magnetic moment with experiments is not suitable due to the lack of the relevant orbital contribution. For Pnma UO\(_2\) in AFM phase, we obtain the optimized structural lattice parameters a, b, and c to be 5.974, 3.604, and 6.967 Å, respectively. The band gap is calculated to be 1.6 eV. Thus, the band gap should not increase from the Fm\(_{3m}\) phase to 2.4 eV in the cotunnite phase, by using a cell volume close to the transition pressure from 0.8 eV in experimental data. It was previously argued\(^2\) that a better description of the PT under an externally applied pressure.

In Fig. 3, we show the total energy vs cell volume curves of the Fm\(_{3m}\) and Pnma phases. These curves are important for describing the PT under an externally applied pressure. If one uses the same Hubbard parameter U for both phases, a PT is predicted at ∼7 GPa, which is not consistent with experimental data. It was previously argued\(^2\) that a better description of the PT can be obtained by using U = 5.5 eV, and in the present study we followed the suggested prescription. From Fig. 3 it is clear that the Fm\(_{3m}\) phase is stable at ambient conditions and that a transition to the Pnma phase is expected under compression. In the inset of Fig. 3 we show the relative enthalpies H of the Pnma phase with respect to the Fm\(_{3m}\) phase as a function of the pressure. Considering that at 0 K the Gibbs free energy is equal to the enthalpy, we can then identify the PT pressure as 40 GPa, as indicated by the cross point. This is consistent with the previous LDA + U results of about 38 GPa,\(^2\) and also with the experimentally observed value of 42 GPa.\(^3\) Finally in Fig. 3 we also show results obtained with LDA + U + SOC with vasp and with Elk. The good agreement that one can observe between the three sets of simulations indicates that the effects associated with the SOC can be neglected when calculating the elastic and structure properties of UO\(_2\).

### B. Elasticity of fluorite UO\(_2\)

The elastic constants can measure the resistance and mechanical properties of a crystal under external stress or pressure, thus describing the stability of crystals against elastic deformation. We present in Table I the lattice constant, elastic constants, bulk modulus, shear modulus, Young modulus, deformation. We present in Table I the lattice constant, elastic constants, bulk modulus, shear modulus, Young modulus, Debye temperature for Fm\(_{3m}\) AFM UO\(_2\) at different pressures. All these values are obtained through LDA + U vasp calculations with U = 4 eV. We also calculate the elastic constants at 0 GPa by including SOC using VASP, obtaining C\(_{11} = 395.9\) GPa, C\(_{12} = 134.0\) GPa, and C\(_{44} = 89.5\) GPa. These values are in close agreement with both our LDA + U results and experiments, as shown in Table I, and illustrate that the inclusion of SOC is not crucial for the elastic properties of UO\(_2\). Elastic constants at 0 GPa have been widely studied by experiments\(^5\) or through first-principles calculations.\(^39,53,54\) Our calculated results at zero pressure are consistent with these values, and in particular with the recent LDA + U work of Sanati et al.\(^39\) There, the author also show that the SOC introduces only marginal changes in the elastic properties of UO\(_2\), thus supporting our chosen methodology for this study. In the entire pressure range considered in our study, C\(_{11}\) is prominently larger than C\(_{12}\), indicating that the bonding strength along the [100]/[010]/[001] directions is clearly stronger than that of the bonding along the [011]/[101]/[110] directions. In fact, there are eight U-O covalent bonds per formula unit for fluorite UO\(_2\). The angle of all eight bonds with respect
to the [100]/[010]/[001] directions is 45°. However, only four bonds make an angle of 45° with the [011]/[101]/[110] directions. Four other bonds are vertical to the strain directions of [011]/[101]/[110], and they have no contributions to the elastic strength. Therefore, it is intuitive that \( C_{11} > C_{12} \) for cubic UO₂. This kind of analysis of the chemical bonding has been previously used to explain the different theoretical tensile strengths in the three typical crystalline orientations of PuO₂.14

Finally, for the Debye temperature, our calculated result of 398.1 K is in excellent agreement with experimental data.52,55 Also, from the theoretical side, this system has been widely investigated, e.g., through LDA + DMFT,12 MD,59 GGA,60 and LDA/GGA + U + SOC.39 In Fig. 4(a) we show the phonon dispersion of the \( Fm\overline{3}m \) phase along \( \Gamma-X-K-\Gamma-L-X-W \) directions. The segments \( \Gamma-X, \Gamma-K, \) and \( \Gamma-L \) are respectively along the [001], [110], and [111] directions. Here we should note that neglecting the SOC in plain LDA or GGA leads to underestimating the optical modes, since the modes at high frequencies are shifted to lower frequencies. However, in LDA + \( U \) (for large enough \( U \)) this problem disappears, and it has been proved that SOC does not introduce any significant correction.59 From Fig. 4(a), one can find that including polarization effects is necessary to correctly account for the longitudinal optical (LO)-transverse optical (TO) splitting near the \( \Gamma \) point in BZ. Here, the Born effective charges \((Z_{\alpha}^* = 5.54)\) and \((Z_{\beta}^* = -2.77)\) of \( U \) and \( O \) ions for \( Fm\overline{3}m \) AFM UO₂ are also calculated. Our phonon dispersions are overall in good agreement with the inelastic neutron scattering experiment55,56 and previous calculations.12,39,59,60

In Fig. 4(b) we show the phonon dispersion of the \( Pnma \) phase along \( \Gamma-X-U-Z-\Gamma-Y-T-R \) directions. The high-symmetry points here correspond to \( \Gamma (0, 0, 0), X (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0), U (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), Z (0, 0, \frac{1}{2}), Y (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0), T (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0), \) and \( R (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \). Although in our previous work on the elastic constants we have predicted the \( Pnma \) phase of UO₂ to be mechanically stable in its equilibrium state, Fig. 4(b) clearly shows that the transverse acoustic (TA) mode close to the \( \Gamma \) point becomes imaginary along the \( \Gamma-X \) (i.e., the [010]) direction. This means that the high-pressure phase of UO₂ is dynamically unstable at

C. Phonon dispersion

The calculated phonon dispersion curves as well as the corresponding phonon DOS are displayed in Fig. 4 for \( Fm\overline{3}m \) and \( Pnma \) UO₂ in the AFM configuration. To our knowledge, no experimental or theoretical results on phonons have been published for the high-pressure phase of actinide dioxides. For UO₂ in the \( Fm\overline{3}m \) phase, i.e., at ambient pressure, several experimental techniques have been used to evaluate its vibrational properties, namely inelastic neutron scattering55,56 and infrared and Raman spectroscopy.1,57,58

As indicated in Table I, pressure-induced enhancements of elastic constants, elastic moduli, elastic wave velocities, and Debye temperatures are evident with the exception of the Poisson's ratio. These quantities all increase linearly with the Debye temperatures are evident with the exception of the Poisson's ratio. These quantities all increase linearly with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>( a ) (Å)</th>
<th>( C_{11} ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( C_{12} ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( C_{44} ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( B ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( G ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( E ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( \nu ) (g/cm³)</th>
<th>( \upsilon_t ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( \upsilon_l ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( \upsilon_m ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( \theta_D ) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.449</td>
<td>389.3</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>222.4</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>11.084</td>
<td>2841.8</td>
<td>5525.7</td>
<td>3183.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.408</td>
<td>414.8</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>241.2</td>
<td>107.3</td>
<td>280.4</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>11.343</td>
<td>3076.1</td>
<td>5821.1</td>
<td>3438.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.373</td>
<td>438.2</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>257.2</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>305.9</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>11.565</td>
<td>3187.5</td>
<td>5982.3</td>
<td>3561.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.340</td>
<td>459.2</td>
<td>181.6</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>274.1</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>328.9</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>11.776</td>
<td>3277.7</td>
<td>6131.8</td>
<td>3661.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.310</td>
<td>479.8</td>
<td>195.6</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>290.4</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>351.8</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>11.979</td>
<td>3363.3</td>
<td>6207.0</td>
<td>3755.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.282</td>
<td>500.3</td>
<td>208.3</td>
<td>143.6</td>
<td>305.6</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>374.6</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>12.175</td>
<td>3445.7</td>
<td>6398.0</td>
<td>3846.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.254</td>
<td>520.8</td>
<td>221.6</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>321.3</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>397.0</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>12.364</td>
<td>3522.3</td>
<td>6521.7</td>
<td>3931.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.229</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>233.7</td>
<td>167.8</td>
<td>335.8</td>
<td>161.8</td>
<td>418.2</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>12.546</td>
<td>3591.1</td>
<td>6630.0</td>
<td>4007.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.204</td>
<td>558.0</td>
<td>246.7</td>
<td>180.9</td>
<td>350.4</td>
<td>170.3</td>
<td>439.8</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>12.722</td>
<td>3659.1</td>
<td>6737.7</td>
<td>4082.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expt. \( 5.476 \quad 389.3 \quad 118.7 \quad 59.7 \quad 209.0 \quad 83.0 \quad 221.0 \quad 0.324 \quad 399 \quad 399 \quad 399 \quad 399 \)

Table I. Lattice constants \((a)\), elastic constants \((C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{44})\), bulk modulus \((B)\), shear modulus \((G)\), Young's modulus \((E)\), Poisson's ratio \((\nu)\), density \((\rho)\), transverse \((\upsilon_t)\), longitudinal \((\upsilon_l)\), and average \((\upsilon_m)\) sound velocities, and Debye temperature \((\theta_D)\) for \( Fm\overline{3}m \) AFM UO₂ at different pressures calculated through LDA + \( U \) with \( U = 4 \) eV. For comparison, experimental values and results from other calculations at 0 GPa are also listed.

\( a \) Reference 3.
\( b \) Reference 52.
\( c \) Reference 55.
\( d \) Reference 39.
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FIG. 4. (Color online) Phonon dispersion curves (left panel) and corresponding phonon DOS (right panel) for UO$_2$ in (a) $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase and (b) $Pnma$ phase. All results are calculated through LDA + $U$ with $U = 4$ eV. In (a), solid and dashed lines refer respectively to calculations without and with polarization effects. The hollow circles present the experimental data from Ref. 55.

ambient pressure. In addition, we can find a clear soft phonon mode along the $\Gamma$-$Z$ (i.e., the [001]) direction. Thus, U atoms in the $Pnma$ structure are easy to move along the [010] and [001] directions. Based on these observations, we show in Fig. 5 a suggested path for the $Pnma\rightarrow Fm\bar{3}m$ transition. The $Pnma$ phase can be viewed as an AB periodically layered structure along the [100] direction. During the transition, at the beginning the adjacent (100) planes slip relatively along the [001] direction to create a face-centered orthorhombic structure (as indicated by the arrows in Fig. 5). Then, the cell expands along the [010] direction and shrinks in the vertical directions to form the fcc fluorite structure.

D. Thermodynamic properties and $P$-$T$ phase diagram

The calculated free energy curves $F(T,V)$ of UO$_2$ for temperatures ranging from 0 up to 2000 K are shown in Fig. 6. Note that in the calculation of $F(T,V)$, the ground-state
total energy and phonon free energy should be evaluated by constructing several $2 \times 2 \times 2$ fcc supercells. This procedure is computationally very expensive. In Fig. 6, the equilibrium lattice parameters at different temperature $T$ are also presented. Figure 7 shows the temperature dependence of the lattice parameter and the bulk modulus. The equilibrium volume $V(T)$ and the bulk modulus $B(T)$ are obtained by EOS fitting. Experimental results from Refs. 61 and 62 as well as the MD results from 63 are also plotted. We observe a good agreement of the calculated lattice parameters with respect to the experiments in the low-temperature domain. However, our values are somewhat lower than the experimental ones for temperatures higher than 800 K. The differences may come from the thermal electronic contribution and/or anharmonic effects. Similar to PuO$_2$, the bulk modulus $B(T)$ decreases when the temperature is increased. For UO$_2$ the amplitude of such a change between 0 and 1500 K is $\sim$26.8 GPa, which is larger than that of PuO$_2$ by about 6.2 GPa. This means that UO$_2$ will be softened quicker upon increasing temperature in comparison with PuO$_2$.

Using the QHA and the Debye model, we have calculated the Gibbs free energy ($G$), entropy ($S$), and specific heat at constant volume ($C_V$) for $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ phases of UO$_2$. Notice that since the specific heat at constant pressure ($C_P$) has similar trends as $C_V$, in the present work will refer only to the latter. In Fig. 8 the dependence of the $C_V$ and $S$ on the temperature $T$ is showed, together with the experimental results from Refs. 64 and 65. Under the QHA, the curves of the entropy $S$ for the $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ phases are almost identical to each other. The $S$ of fluorite UO$_2$ is underestimated in a wide range of temperatures with respect to the experiments, in agreement with recent calculations.39

However, as clearly indicated in Fig. 8(a), the Debye model can give proper results for $Fm\bar{3}m$ UO$_2$. Using the Debye model, the $S$ curves for the $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ phases will separate when increasing temperature. The difference between the QHA and the Debye model is due to the fact that the Debye model includes some anharmonic contributions in the calculation of $S$ and $C_V$, while the QHA does not. Although the Debye model is less accurate, it can supply a qualitative picture or even a quantitative description of the thermodynamic properties. As shown in Fig. 8(b), the $C_V$ of $Fm\bar{3}m$ UO$_2$ under the QHA agrees well with experiments up to room temperature and becomes close to a constant in the Dulong-Petit limit.66 Similar trends have been recently observed for the $C_V$ of the $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase by Sanati et al.39 Our results point to that the $C_V$
curves for the $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ phases are almost identical to each other. However, in the Debye model, a slower increase of the $C_V$ when increasing the temperature is observed for the $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase with respect to the $Pnma$ phase. The Debye model gives $\theta_D = 390.6$ and $352.8$ K for the $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ phases respectively, and these values are in good agreement with the values of $398.1$ and $343.7$ K computed from the elastic constants.\cite{14}

As shown in Fig. 9(a), the crossing between the Gibbs free energy of the $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ phases, as obtained through the Debye model, clearly gives a $Fm\bar{3}m \rightarrow Pnma$ PT temperature of $2069$ K. This implies a significant temperature contribution for the $Fm\bar{3}m \rightarrow Pnma$ PT, which is hence not only pressure driven. To predict the phase boundary of this PT, we calculate the Gibbs free energy of the $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$ crystal structures in a temperature range from 0 to $3000$ K, and the effect of the pressure is studied in the range between 0 and $45$ GPa. The difference of the Gibbs energy ($\Delta G$) between the fluorite and cotunnite structures of UO$_2$ as a function of pressure for several temperatures is reported in Fig. 9(b). At $0$ K, the $Fm\bar{3}m \rightarrow Pnma$ PT pressure is predicted to be $40$ GPa, corresponding to our aforementioned result. Along with increasing temperature in the range from 0 to $2069$ K, the pressure of the $Fm\bar{3}m \rightarrow Pnma$ transition decreases slightly. At higher temperatures, the $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase is only stable in middle pressure range.

Once the free energies of the two experimentally observed structures are determined, the phase boundary can be obtained by equating the Gibbs free energies at a given pressure and temperature. Besides, the solid-liquid boundary can be featured by the melting temperature $T_m$. Based on these results, we can plot in Fig. 10 the phase diagram of UO$_2$. Other theoretical\cite{12,63} and experimental\cite{3,24} values are also presented for comparison. For the phase boundary between $Fm\bar{3}m$ and $Pnma$, only one point at ambient condition was reported in experiment. Our predicted results call for further experimental and theoretical works, to investigate the accuracy of the theory.

For the solid-liquid boundary, the experimentally determined melting value at zero pressure is $3147$ K.\cite{24} We note that experiment and recent MD calculation have reported the relationship between melting point and pressure to be $T_{m,P} = 3147$ K + $\frac{115}{6}$ K GPa and $T_{m,P} = 3178$ K + $\frac{115}{5.8}$ K GPa, respectively, where $P$ is pressure in unit of GPa. Using our calculated data in Fig. 10, we obtain $T_{m,P} = 2882$ K + $\frac{115}{5.8}$ K GPa. The melting point at zero pressure is underestimated by about $265$ K, which is the same as previous LDA + $U$ calculations.\cite{22} The increasing rate of $T_{m,P}$ is largely underestimated compared to experiment. Although we cannot claim that our calculations are more reliable than these experiments, we note that the latter were performed only in a narrow range of pressure, between $0.01$ and $0.25$ GPa.

![Graph](image-url)

**FIG. 10.** (Color online) Calculated $P$-$T$ phase diagram for uranium dioxide (indicated by line plus hollow symbols) compared with selected experimental points [square for melting point (Ref. 24) and triangle for PT pressure (Ref. 3)] and other calculations [line plus small solid circles for MD (Ref. 63) and the large circle for LDA + $U$ (Ref. 22)].

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have carried out a first-principles DFT + $U$ exploration of the ground-state properties as well as the high-temperature/pressure behavior of UO$_2$ within VASP. For a few selected cases these calculations have been compared with LDA + $U$ + SOC simulations within VASP and ELK. We find that all types of calculations resulted in equilibrium volumes and elastic constants which are in good agreement with each other. This shows that the inclusion of SOC does not significantly influence the structural properties of UO$_2$. By choosing the Hubbard $U$ parameter around $4$ eV within the LDA + $U$ approach, the equilibrium state features for both the ambient $Fm\bar{3}m$ and the high-pressure $Pnma$ phases of UO$_2$ are shown to agree well with experiments. However, the $Fm\bar{3}m \rightarrow Pnma$ transition is predicted to occur at only $7$ GPa, and only by increasing $U$ to $5.5$ eV for the $Pnma$ phase can one find a value of $40$ GPa, which is in good agreement with experiment. This finding is also in good agreement with a recent theoretical study.\cite{22} At ambient pressure, a transition temperature of $2069$ K between the two solid structures is firstly obtained by the Debye model. The mechanical properties and the Debye temperature of the fluorite phase have been observed to increase linearly with the pressure, by calculating the elastic constants. As a result, the melting temperature $T_m$ also increases linearly with the pressure. Phonon dispersion results of the $Fm\bar{3}m$ phase are in good agreement with available experimental values. The LO-TO splitting at the $\Gamma$ point is successfully reproduced by including the polarization effects. For the cotunnite phase, the imaginary mode along the $\Gamma$-X direction and soft phonon mode along the $\Gamma$-Z direction have been found at the equilibrium volume. The cotunnite to fluorite transition can be reached by firstly slipping the adjacent ($100$) planes relatively along the [001] direction to create a face-centered orthorhombic structure and secondly expanding the cell along the [010] direction and shrinking in the vertical directions to form the fcc fluorite structure. Using the QHA and the Debye model, we have calculated the Gibbs free energy, temperature dependences of lattice parameter and bulk modulus, entropy, specific heat, and $P$-$T$ phase diagram of UO$_2$. Given the importance of this material as nuclear fuel we expect these results to be useful for further theoretical and experimental investigations.
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40etk is an all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane wave with local orbitals [FP-LAPW+lo] code, available free of charge at http://elk.sourceforge.net


